

Final state phases in $B \rightarrow D\pi$, $\bar{D}\pi$ decays and CP-asymmetry

Fayyazuddin
National Center for Physics
Quaid-i-Azam University
Islamabad 45320
Pakistan
([hep-ph/0402189])

Final state phases δ_f and δ'_f in $B \rightarrow D\pi$, $\bar{D}\pi$ decays are shown to be equal i.e. $\delta = \delta_f - \delta'_f = 0$. Thus CP-violating asymmetry $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is independent of final state phases. The estimate for the phases δ_f and δ'_f is also given.

Time dependent B -decays are a good source of our knowledge regarding CP-violation. However CP-violation involves final state phases. Thus it is not possible to extract the weak phase γ , without some knowledge of final state phases. $\Delta C = \pm 1$, $\Delta S = 0$ B -decays are of special interest, because for these decays, it is possible to show that final state phase $\delta = 0$. The purpose of the paper is to show that this is the case. It is convenient to write the time dependent decay rates in the form (For a review see for example references [1–3])

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow f) - \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f})] + [\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f}) - \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow f)] \\ &= e^{-\Gamma t} \left\{ \cos \Delta m t \left[\left(|\langle f|H|B^0 \rangle|^2 - |\langle \bar{f}|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle|^2 \right) + \left(|\langle \bar{f}|H|B^0 \rangle|^2 - |\langle f|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle|^2 \right) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sin \Delta m t \left[\text{Im} \left(e^{2i\phi_M} \langle f|H|B^0 \rangle^* \langle f|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle \right) + \text{Im} \left(e^{2i\phi_M} \langle \bar{f}|H|B^0 \rangle^* \langle \bar{f}|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow f) + \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f})] - [\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f}) + \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow f)] \\ &= e^{-\Gamma t} \left\{ \cos \Delta m t \left[\left(|\langle f|H|B^0 \rangle|^2 + |\langle \bar{f}|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle|^2 \right) - \left(|\langle \bar{f}|H|B^0 \rangle|^2 + |\langle f|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle|^2 \right) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sin \Delta m t \left[\text{Im} \left(e^{2i\phi_M} \langle f|H|B^0 \rangle^* \langle f|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle \right) - \text{Im} \left(e^{2i\phi_M} \langle \bar{f}|H|B^0 \rangle^* \langle \bar{f}|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In reference [4], it was suggested that time dependent $B \rightarrow D\pi$ decays can be used to find $\sin(2\beta + \gamma)$. The detailed analysis has been done in references [5–7].

For $B \rightarrow D\pi$ decays, the decay amplitudes can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{+-} &= \langle \bar{f}|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle = \langle D^+\pi^-|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle = \bar{A}_{\bar{f}} \\ A_{-+} &= \langle f|H|B^0 \rangle = \langle D^-\pi^+|H|B^0 \rangle = A_f, A_f = \bar{A}_{\bar{f}} \\ A'_{-+} &= \langle f|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle = \langle D^-\pi^+|H|\bar{B}^0 \rangle = e^{i\gamma} \bar{A}'_f \\ A'_{+-} &= \langle \bar{f}|H|B^0 \rangle = \langle D^+\pi^-|H|B^0 \rangle = e^{-i\gamma} \bar{A}'_f \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Note that the effective Lagrangians for decays $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-$ and $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^-\pi^+$ are given by

$$V_{cb}V_{ud}^* [\bar{d}\gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma_5) u] [\bar{c}\gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) b] \quad (4a)$$

$$V_{ub}V_{cd}^* [\bar{d}\gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma_5) c] [\bar{u}\gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) b] \quad (4b)$$

respectively. In the Wolfenstein parametrization of CKM matrix

$$\frac{V_{cb}V_{ud}^*}{V_{ub}V_{cd}^*} = \lambda^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + \eta^2} e^{i\gamma} \quad (5)$$

Thus for $B \rightarrow D\pi$ decays, we get from Eqs. (1), (2) and (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(t) &\equiv \frac{[\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow f) - \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f})]}{[\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow f) + \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f})]} \\ &= -\sin \Delta m t \sin(2\beta + \gamma) \frac{A_f^* \bar{A}'_f + A_f \bar{A}'_f^*}{|A_f|^2 + |\bar{A}'_f|^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{2r}{1+r^2} \sin \Delta m t \cos (\delta_f - \delta'_f) \sin (2\beta + \gamma) \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(t) &\equiv \frac{[\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow f) + \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f})] - [\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f}) + \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow f)]}{[\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow f) + \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow f)] + [\Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f}) + \Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \rightarrow f)]} \\ &= \frac{|A_f|^2 - |\bar{A}'_f|^2}{|A_f|^2 + |\bar{A}'_f|^2} \cos \Delta m t + i \frac{A_f^* \bar{A}'_f - A_f \bar{A}'_f{}^*}{|A_f|^2 + |\bar{A}'_f|^2} \sin \Delta m t \cos (2\beta + \gamma) \\ &= \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2} \cos \Delta m t - \frac{2r}{1+r^2} \sin \Delta m t \cos (2\beta + \gamma) \sin (\delta_f - \delta'_f) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $r = |\bar{A}'_f|^2 / |A_f|^2$ and f and $\bar{f}$ stand for $D^-\pi^+$ and $D^+\pi^-$ respectively. It is clear from Eqs. (6) and (7), that extraction of angle $2\beta + \gamma$ depends on the strong phases of amplitude A_f and $\bar{A}'_f$ and parameter r .

The rest of the paper is concerned with the strong phases. First we consider the decays

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}^0(t) &\rightarrow D^+\pi^- \\ &\rightarrow D^0\pi^0 \\ B^-(t) &\rightarrow D^0\pi^- \end{aligned}$$

The effective Lagrangian (4a) for these decays has $\Delta I = 1$. As is well known for these decays, isospin analysis gives

$$A_{+-} = \frac{1}{3} [A_{3/2} + 2A_{1/2}] = \frac{1}{3} [f_{3/2}e^{i\delta_{3/2}} + 2f_{1/2}e^{i\delta_{1/2}}] : T + A_2 \quad (8a)$$

$$A_{00} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [A_{3/2} - A_{1/2}] = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [f_{3/2}e^{i\delta_{3/2}} - f_{1/2}e^{i\delta_{1/2}}] : -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(C - A_2) \quad (8b)$$

$$A_{0-} = A_{3/2} = f_{3/2}e^{i\delta_{3/2}} : T + C, \quad (8c)$$

$$A_{+-} - \sqrt{2}A_{00} = A_{0-} \quad (8d)$$

where T, C, A_2 denote contributions from the tree, the color suppressed and W -exchange diagrams respectively.

On the other hand for the effective Lagrangian (4b), we have both $\Delta I = 1$ and $\Delta I = 0$ parts. Thus for the decays

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}^0(t) &\rightarrow D^-\pi^+ \\ &\rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0 \\ B^-(t) &\rightarrow D^-\pi^0 \\ &\rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^- \end{aligned}$$

the isospin analysis gives

$$A'_{-+} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}A'_{3/2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}C'_{1/2} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}D'_{1/2} : T' + A'_2 \quad (9a)$$

$$A'_{00} = \frac{2}{3}A'_{3/2} + \frac{1}{3}C'_{1/2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D'_{1/2} : -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(C' - A'_2) \quad (9b)$$

$$A'_{-0} = -\frac{2}{3}A'_{3/2} - \frac{1}{3}C'_{1/2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}D'_{1/2} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T' - A'_1) \quad (9c)$$

$$A'_{0-} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}A'_{3/2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}C'_{1/2} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}D'_{1/2} : C' + A'_1 \quad (9d)$$

where $D'_{1/2}$ is the contribution from $\Delta I = 0$ part of the effective Lagrangian. Here it is convenient to write

$$\begin{aligned} C'_{1/2} - \sqrt{3}D'_{1/2} &= A'_{1/2} \\ C'_{1/2} + \sqrt{3}D'_{1/2} &= B'_{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Thus we can write

$$A'_{-+} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [A'_{3/2} - A'_{1/2}] = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [f'_{3/2} e^{i\delta'_{3/2}} - f'_{1/2} e^{i\delta'_{1/2}}] \quad (11a)$$

$$A'_{00} = \frac{1}{3} [2A'_{3/2} + A'_{1/2}] = \frac{1}{3} [2f'_{3/2} e^{i\delta'_{3/2}} + f'_{1/2} e^{i\delta'_{1/2}}] \quad (11b)$$

$$A'_{-0} = -\frac{1}{3} [2A'_{3/2} + B'_{1/2}] = -\frac{1}{3} [2f'_{3/2} e^{i\delta'_{3/2}} + g'_{1/2} e^{i\delta'_{1/2}}] \quad (11c)$$

$$A'_{0-} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [A'_{3/2} - B'_{1/2}] = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [f'_{3/2} e^{i\delta'_{3/2}} - g'_{1/2} e^{i\delta'_{1/2}w}] \quad (11d)$$

$$A'_{-+} - \sqrt{2}A'_{00} = \sqrt{2}A'_{-0} + A'_{0-} \quad (11e)$$

In order to calculate the final state phases δ 's, the following physical picture is useful. In the weak decays of B -mesons, the b -quark is converted into $b \rightarrow cq\bar{q}$, $b \rightarrow uq\bar{q}$; since for the [2,8–10] tree graph the configuration is such that q and $\bar{q}$ essentially go together into a color singlet state with the third quark recoiling, there is a significant probability that the system will hadronize as a two body final state. Thus the strong phase shifts are expected to be small at least for tree amplitude. They are generated after hadronization by rescattering.

As noted in Ref. [11], in the simple factorization ansatz (large N_c limit), C and A_2 vanishes so that the decay $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$ entirely arises from rescattering:

$$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^- \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$$

Thus, the rescattering involves charge exchange; hence it is determined by an isospin one exchange trajectory. The isospin decomposition of the scattering amplitude $\pi_i D \rightarrow \pi_j D$ can be expressed in terms of two amplitudes

$$M_{ji} = M^{(+)}\delta_{ji} + \frac{1}{2}M^{(-)}[\tau_j, \tau_i] \quad (12)$$

The $I = 3/2$ and $I = 1/2$ scattering amplitudes are related to $M^{(+)}$ and $M^{(-)}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} M_{3/2} &= M^{(+)} - M^{(-)} \\ M_{1/2} &= M^{(+)} + 2M^{(-)} \end{aligned}$$

Since an isospin one exchange contributes to $M^{(-)}$, we get [11]

$$\frac{M_{3/2}}{M_{1/2}} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (13)$$

In order to consider the rescattering corrections to the decays $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$ and $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0$, we note that the unitarity gives the imaginary part of the decay amplitude A_f as follows:

$$\text{Im } A_f = \sum_n M_{nf}^* A_n \quad (14)$$

where M_{nf} is the scattering amplitude for $f \rightarrow n$ and A_n is the decay amplitude $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow n$. In dispersion relation two particle unitarity gives dominant contribution. The dominant contribution is expected to be from the intermediate state $n = f'$ ($f' = \pi^- D^+$ or $\pi^+ D^-$). Other possible two particle states which may contribute are $\pi^- D^{*+}(\pi^+ D^{*-})$ or $\rho^- D^+(\rho^+ D^-)$. But it is important to note that $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^- D^+(\pi^+ D^-)$ decays are s-wave decays whereas $\pi^- D^{*+}(\pi^+ D^{*-})$ are p-wave decays. Hence the scattering amplitude connecting p-wave intermediate states with s-wave final states in Eq. (14) will not contribute. Similar remarks hold for $\rho^- D^+(\rho^+ D^-)$ states.

Now the scattering amplitude $M_{ff'}$ is given by $I = 1$ (ρ trajectory) exchange in the t -channel. Then using unsubtracted dispersion for the decay amplitude A_f , the rescattering correction to A_f can be written in the form $\epsilon e^{i\theta} A_{f'}$ [12–14].

Hence taking into account the rescattering correction, Eqs. (8b) and (11b) are modified to

$$A_{00} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(C - A_2) - \sqrt{2}\epsilon e^{i\theta}T, \quad (15)$$

$$A'_{00} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(C' - A'_2) - \sqrt{2}\epsilon e^{i\theta}T' \quad (16)$$

Note an important fact that $I = 1$ exchange in the t -channel gives the same contribution to rescattering amplitudes both for πD and $\pi \bar{D}$ channels. This is a consequence of C -invariance of scattering amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ff'} &= \langle \pi^0 D^0 | M | \pi^- D^+ \rangle = \langle \pi^0 D^0 | C^{-1} C M C^{-1} C | \pi^- D^+ \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^0 \bar{D}^0 | M | \pi^+ D^- \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

First we note that the strong phases are generated after hadronization i.e. they are negligibly small in the absence of rescattering. Hence in the absence of rescattering

$$A_{00} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [f_{3/2} - f_{1/2}] = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (C - A_2) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (2b) T \quad (18)$$

where

$$2b = \frac{C - A_2}{T} \quad (19)$$

Now in high N_c limit $(C - A_2) \rightarrow 0$, $f_{3/2} = f_{1/2}$. But for finite but small b , we get from Eq. (18)

$$\frac{f_{1/2}}{f_{3/2}} = \frac{(1 - b)}{1 + 2b} \quad (20)$$

Similarly, we get

$$-\frac{f'_{1/2}}{2f'_{3/2}} = \frac{(1 - b)}{1 + 2b} \quad (21)$$

Secondly, after rescattering correction, A_{00} is given by (cf. Eq. (15))

$$A_{00} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [(f_{3/2} - f_{1/2}) - \epsilon e^{i\theta} (f_{3/2} + 2f_{1/2})] \quad (22)$$

We note that in the presence of rescattering A_{00} is modified and can be written in the form

$$A_{00} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [\tilde{f}_{3/2} e^{i\delta_{3/2}} - \tilde{f}_{1/2} e^{i\delta_{1/2}}] \quad (23)$$

Comparison with Eq. (22) gives

$$\tilde{f}_{3/2} = f_{3/2} (1 + \epsilon \cos \theta), \quad \tilde{f}_{1/2} = f_{1/2} (1 - 2\epsilon \cos \theta) \quad (24)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{3/2} \delta_{3/2} - \tilde{f}_{1/2} \delta_{1/2} = \epsilon \sin \theta (f_{3/2} + 2f_{1/2}) \quad (25)$$

Neglecting the terms of order $\epsilon \delta$, we get from Eq. (25)

$$f_{3/2} \delta_{3/2} - f_{1/2} \delta_{1/2} = \epsilon \sin \theta (f_{3/2} + 2f_{1/2}) \quad (26)$$

From Eq. (26), using Eq. (20), we get

$$(\delta_{3/2} - \delta_{1/2}) + b (2\delta_{3/2} + \delta_{1/2}) = 3\epsilon \sin \theta \quad (27)$$

Since right hand side of Eq. (27) is independent of b , we obtain

$$\delta_{1/2} = -2\delta_{3/2}, \quad \delta_{3/2} = \epsilon \sin \theta \quad (28)$$

Similarly we get

$$2f'_{3/2} \delta'_{3/2} + f'_{1/2} \delta'_{1/2} = 2\epsilon \sin \theta (f'_{3/2} - f'_{1/2}) \quad (29)$$

Then using Eq. (21), we get

$$\delta'_{1/2} = -2\delta'_{3/2}, \quad \delta'_{3/2} = \epsilon \sin \theta \quad (30)$$

Eqs. (28) and (30) are our main results. From these results, it follows that $\delta'_f = \delta_f$ [see below].

Hence, we get from Eq.(6) and (7),

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = -\frac{2r}{1+r^2} \sin \Delta m t \sin(2\beta + \gamma) \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2} \cos \Delta m t \quad (32)$$

It is clear from Eqs. (31) and (32) that CP -asymmetry is independent of final state phase $\delta = \delta_f - \delta'_f$. Thus both the phase $2\beta + \gamma$ and the ratio r can be determined from the experimental values of $\mathcal{A}(t)$ and $\mathcal{F}(t)$. This result is also relevant for the recent measurement of time-dependent CP asymmetries for the $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*\mp} \pi^\pm$ decays by BaBar collaboration [15]. With $\delta = 0$, their measurement of CP -asymmetries contain only one parameter r , instead of two for determining $\sin(2\beta + \gamma)$.

Now from Eq. (8), using Eqs. (20) and (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_f &\equiv A_{+-} = f_{3/2} \frac{1}{1+2b} e^{-i\delta_f} \equiv |A_f| e^{-i\delta_f} \\ A_{00} &= -\sqrt{2} \times f_{3/2} \frac{1}{1+2b} (a + i\delta_{3/2}) \\ A_{0-} &= f_{3/2} e^{i\delta_{3/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where

$$\delta_f = (1-2b) \delta_{3/2} \quad (34)$$

$$a = b + \epsilon \cos \theta \quad (35)$$

Similarly, from Eq. (11a), using Eqs. (21) and (30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}'_f &\equiv A'_{-+} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+2b} f'_{3/2} e^{-i\delta'_f} \\ &\equiv |\bar{A}'_f| e^{-i\delta'_f} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where

$$\delta'_f = (1-2b) \delta'_{3/2} = \delta_f \quad (37)$$

Finally, we get from Eqs. (33),

$$R_{+-} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-)}{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^-)} = \frac{1}{(1+2b)^2} \approx 0.57 \pm 0.09 \quad (38)$$

$$R_{00} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)}{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^-)} = \frac{2}{(1+2b)^2} (a^2 + \delta_{3/2}^2) \approx 0.06 \pm 0.01 \quad (39)$$

where the numbers on the right hand side of Eqs. (38) and (39) are experimental values [16].

From the above equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b &\approx 0.162 \\ a^2 + \delta_{3/2}^2 &= 0.053 \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Further we note that

$$\delta_{3/2} = \epsilon \sin \theta \leq \epsilon \quad (41)$$

Thus we cannot get the magnitude of phase shift unless we know θ . But it is expected to be small, since $\epsilon \sim 1/m_B$. In particular for

$$\theta = 90^0, \quad \delta_{3/2} = \epsilon, \quad a = b, \quad (42)$$

$$\delta_{3/2} \approx 0.162 \approx 9^0 \quad (43)$$

To conclude based on the assumption that final state phase shifts are small; they are generated by rescattering, we have obtained $\delta'_{3/2} = \delta_{3/2}$, $\delta'_{1/2} = \delta_{1/2} = -2\delta_{3/2}$, $\delta'_f = \delta_f$. The equality of phase shifts for πD and $\pi \bar{D}$ channels (which are C -conjugate of each other) is essentially a consequence of C -invariance of scattering amplitude M_{nf} for these channels.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported in parts by the Pakistan Council for Science and Technology.

- [1] CP-Violation: editor: C. Jarlskog, World Scientific (1989)
- [2] H. Quinn, *B* Physics and CP-Violation, [hep-ph/0111177]
- [3] Fayyazuddin and Riazuddin, A Modern Introduction to Particle Physics, IInd Edition, World Scientific, Singapore (2000)
- [4] R. Aleksan, et. al., Nucl. Phys. B361 (1991)
- [5] R. Aleksan, I. Dunietz, and B. Kayser, Z. Physik C 54 (1992) 653; I. Dunietz, Phys. Lett. B 427 (1998) 179 and references therein
- [6] D.A. Suprun, C. W. Chiang and J. L. Rosener, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 054025
- [7] J.P. Silva, A. Soffer, F. Wu, and L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 036004
- [8] J. D. Bjorken, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) 11 (1989) 325
- [9] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert and C.T. Sachrajda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 1914 [hep-ph/9905312]; Nucl. Phys. B 591 (2000) 313 [hep-ph/0006124]
- [10] C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 201806 [hep-ph/0107002]
- [11] L. Wolfenstein, [hep-ph/0309166]
- [12] A. F. Falk, A. L. Kagan, Y. Nir, and A. A. Petrov, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1988) 4290 [hep-ph/9712225]
- [13] L. Caprini, L. Micu and C. Bourrely, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 074016 [hep-ph/9904214]
- [14] Fayyazuddin, JHEP 09 (2002) 055 [hep-ph/0206164]
- [15] BaBar Collaboration, B.Aubert et.al. hep-ex/0310037
- [16] K. Hagiwara et. al., Particle Data Group 2002, Phys. Rev. D 66, (2002) 010001